


REMARKS

Claims 1-2 and 4-11 are rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, for not enabling physiologically functional derivatives of the claimed compounds. Claims 1-11 are also rejected for not enabling solvates of the claimed compounds. The Examiner indicates that the instant application is enabled for salts, but not solvates. Applicants disagree with the Examiner's conclusion; however, in order to advance prosecution, the rejected terms have been removed from all affected claims. Applicants believe that the all rejections have been removed and claims 1-11 as amended are now in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any questions or wish to discuss any aspects of the case, please feel free to contact the undersigned attorney at the number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,



Tony W. Peng
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 56,115

GLAXOSMITHKLINE
Corporate Intellectual Property UW2220
P.O. Box 1539
King of Prussia, PA 19406-0939
Phone (610) 270-4918
Facsimile (610) 270-5090

N:\Tony\Cases\TC00001\TC00001 response to office action 12_22_08